

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/528,875	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HALLIDAY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ARADHANA SASAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***DETAILED ACTION***

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a water-swellaable linear polymer.

Group II, claim 14, drawn to a method of making a polymer.

Group III, claim(s) 15-18 and 20, drawn to a controlled release composition comprising a polymer of claim 1 and an active agent.

Group IV, claim 19, drawn to a composition with an active agent.

As set forth in Rule 13.1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), "the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions." Moreover, as stated in Rule 13.2 PCT, Unity of Invention is satisfied "where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art so linked as to form a single general inventive concept."

Art Unit: 1615

The technical feature linking groups I and II is a water-swellaable linear polymer. Since a water swellaable linear polymer is disclosed in US 4,202,880 (Col. 18, claims 1-5), the instant product claims 1-13 lack novelty. The product claims do not require the reaction step of the method claim. The product claims have a different utility than the method claim. Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I and II does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The technical feature linking groups I and III is a water-swellaable linear polymer. Since a water swellaable linear polymer is disclosed in US 4,202,880 (Col. 18, claims 1-5), the instant product claims 1-13 lack novelty. Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I and III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The technical feature of Group IV is a composition comprising an active agent. Group IV does not have the technical feature (a water swellaable linear polymer) of groups I-III. As such, Groups I-III and Group IV do not share the same special technical feature. Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept.

As a result, as currently presented, the instant product claims (Group I: claims 1-13, Group III: claims 15-18 and 20 and Group IV: claim 19) do not share a special technical feature with the method claim (Group II: claim 14) and, as such, unity between the above Groups I – IV is broken.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

### **Election of Species**

Art Unit: 1615

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

3. A difunctional compound as set forth in claim 1.

If applicant selects Group I, II or III, one species (one single compound with both the name and the structure of the compound) from the difunctional compound group (claim 1) must be chosen to be fully responsive.

The species of difunctional compounds include numerous compounds.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Difunctional compounds include chemically and structurally distinct chemical entities.

4. The following is a list of active agents as set forth in claim 19:

H2 receptor antagonist, antimuscarine, prostaglandin analogue, proton pump inhibitor, aminosalicilate, corticosteroid, chelating agent, cardiac glycoside, phosphodiesterase inhibitor, thiazide, diuretic, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, antihypertensive, anti-cancer, anti-depressant, calcium channel blocker, analgesic, opioid antagonist, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, fibrinolytic, statin, adrenoceptor agonist, beta blocker, antihistamine, respiratory stimulant, mucolytic, expectorant, benzodiazepine, barbiturate, anxiolytic, antipsychotic, tricyclic antidepressant, 5HT~

Art Unit: 1615

antagonist, opiate, 5HT, agonist, antiemetic, antiepileptic, dopaminergic, antibiotic, antifungal, anthelmintic, antiviral, antiprotozoal, antidiabetic, insulin, thyrotoxin, female sex hormone, male sex hormone, antioestrogen, hypothalamic, pituitary hormone, posterior pituitary hormone antagonist, antidiuretic hormone antagonist, bisphosphonate, dopamine receptor stimulant, androgen, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, immuno suppressant local anaesthetic, sedative, antipsychotic, silver salt, topical antibacterial, vaccine.

If applicant selects Group IV, one species from the active agents group (claim 19) must be chosen to be fully responsive.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: H2 receptor antagonist, antimuscarine, prostaglandin analogue, proton pump inhibitor, aminosalicilate, corticosteroid, chelating agent, cardiac glycoside, phosphodiesterase inhibitor, thiazide, diuretic, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, antihypertensive, anti-cancer, anti-depressant, calcium channel blocker, analgesic, opioid antagonist, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, fibrinolytic, statin, adrenoceptor agonist, beta blocker, antihistamine, respiratory stimulant, mucolytic, expectorant, benzodiazepine, barbiturate, anxiolytic, antipsychotic, tricyclic antidepressant, 5HT~ antagonist, opiate, 5HT, agonist, antiemetic, antiepileptic, dopaminergic, antibiotic, antifungal, anthelmintic, antiviral, antiprotozoal, antidiabetic, insulin, thyrotoxin, female sex hormone, male sex hormone, antioestrogen, hypothalamic, pituitary hormone, posterior pituitary hormone antagonist, antidiuretic hormone antagonist, bisphosphonate, dopamine receptor stimulant, androgen, non-steroidal anti-

Art Unit: 1615

inflammatory, immuno suppressant local anaesthetic, sedative, antipsoriatic, silver salt, topical antibacterial, vaccine are species of a generic category, active agents.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: H2 receptor antagonist, antimuscarine, prostaglandin analogue, proton pump inhibitor, aminosalicilate, corticosteroid, chelating agent, cardiac glycoside, phosphodiesterase inhibitor, thiazide, diuretic, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, antihypertensive, anti-cancer, anti-depressant, calcium channel blocker, analgesic, opioid antagonist, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, fibrinolytic, statin, adrenoceptor agonist, beta blocker, antihistamine, respiratory stimulant, mucolytic, expectorant, benzodiazepine, barbiturate, anxiolytic, antipsychotic, tricyclic antidepressant, 5HT1 antagonist, opiate, 5HT, agonist, antiemetic, antiepileptic, dopaminergic, antibiotic, antifungal, anthelmintic, antiviral, antiprotozoal, antidiabetic, insulin, thyrotoxin, female sex hormone, male sex hormone, antioestrogen, hypothalamic, pituitary hormone, posterior pituitary hormone antagonist, antidiuretic hormone antagonist, bisphosphonate, dopamine receptor stimulant, androgen, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, immuno suppressant local anaesthetic, sedative, antipsoriatic, silver salt, topical antibacterial, vaccine are therapeutically, chemically, and structurally distinct chemical entities.

5. Upon election of a species of an active agent (H2 receptor antagonist ... vaccine), applicant is required to further elect a single, corresponding active agent that falls under the elected species of active agent.

If applicant selects Group IV, one ultimate species from elected active agent species must be chosen to be fully responsive.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there are numerous species within each species of active agent. For instance, there are numerous active agent species within the species of H2 receptor antagonist.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).



Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aradhana Sasan whose telephone number is (571) 272-9022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached at 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Aradhana Sasan/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1615